IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 1044 of 2001

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE A.L.DAVE

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

CHAMPAKBHAI BALUBHAI PATEL

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR KK TRIVEDI for Petitioners

GOVERNMENT PLEADER for Respondent No. 1

MR MD PANDYA for Respondent No. 2

RULE SERVED for Respondent No. 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE A.L.DAVE

Date of decision: 27/04/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Heard learned Advocate Mr. K.K. Trivedi and Ms. Punani appearing for respondent no. 1 - State of Gujarat.

2. The petitioner claims to have filed objections to the revised draft development plan of Surat Urban Development Authority, in respect of Survey No. 41 + 61 paiki of village Adajan and that such draft is pending before the Government.

3. This petition can be disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 1 that if such objections have been filed by the petitioner, respondent no. 1 shall, before sanctioning the revised draft plan, consider and deal with the objections that may have been filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the above observation, rule is made absolute with no orders as to costs. Direct service permitted.

(A.L. DAVE,J.)

siji